

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)**

CRM-M-20132-2021
Decided on : 02.07.2021

Harpreet Kaur Bunga

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. A.K.Spehia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to take action on the representation dated 25.01.2021 (Annexure P-1) against respondents No.4 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was maltreated and harassed by private respondents for demand of dowry and finally she was turned out of matrimonial home by them. Learned counsel further submits that despite representation dated 25.01.2021 (Annexure P-1) having been made to the authorities concerned, the official respondents had failed to proceed against the accused under the provisions of law. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his representation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the

respondent No.2 i.e. Sr. Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar, is directed to look into her grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 25.01.2021 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.*** 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

02.07.2021
sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No