

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20051 of 2021

Date of decision : August 26, 2021

Ranjit Singh @ Raju @ Kaddu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Gagandeep Singh Bajwa, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG., Punjab.

\*\*\*

(Through Video Conferencing)

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J (oral).**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No. 83 dated 13.05.2019 for the offences under Sections 379-B and 34 IPC (Section 201 IPC was added later on) registered at Police Station B-Division, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is already behind bars for the last more than two years and the challan has already been presented and the charges have also been framed and therefore, keeping the petitioner behind the bars during the period of trial which would likely to take some time before it concludes will serve no

purpose

Learned counsel for the respondents-State on instructions from ASI Parminder Singh submits that the petitioner is also involved in other FIRs on similar allegations.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is on bail in all the other cases except the present case.

I have heard the counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case with their able assistance.

Once, the investigation is over and the charges have been framed, the allegations alleged in the FIR and yet to be proved during the trial, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars during the period of trial as he has suffered incarceration for the last two years as the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes especially when the learned counsel for the petitioner has undertaken before this Court that in case the petitioner is granted the benefit of regular bail, the petitioner will maintain a good conduct while on bail and will not influence either the witness or trial in any manner.

In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, if not required to be detained in any other case, subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that petitioner will not influence the trial in any manner and in case of default of the above undertaking, the State/complainant will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall

not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

August 26, 2021  
archana

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |