

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CRWP-4588-2021

Date of decision: 19.05.2021

Harkiran Kaur & another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Vikram Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of restrictions due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioners-Harkiran Kaur and Harsh Kumar, stated to be aged 21 & 22 years respectively, have jointly filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, by making reference to para 14 of the petition, submits that both the petitioners were un-married and this is their first marriage. He further submits that in this regard, a detailed representation dated 10.05.2021 (Annexure P-5) was submitted to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Barnala, Punjab (respondent

No.2).

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

While refraining from making any expression as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is **disposed of** with a direction that in case, the petitioners approach the police authorities concerned, with above representation (Annexure P-5) with regard to their alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined by the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Barnala, Punjab (respondent No.2) as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law and necessary steps as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the case, be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Barnala, Punjab (respondent No.2), so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously through e-mail or any other mode through Registry.

Needless to say that the aforesaid order shall not be construed to be an expression as regards the validity of the alleged marriage of the parties.

Ordered accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

19.05.2021

shruti

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No