

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-19713-2021

Date of decision : 24.05.2021.

JARNAIL SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Preetinder Singh Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.22 dated 14.03.2021 under Sections 307, 224, 225, 427, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Cantt. Ferozepur, District Ferozepur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that the police was trying to apprehend co-accused-Angrej Singh, who was wanted in an NDPS Act. Angrej Singh was travelling in a vehicle and they had fired on the police party. He, however, contends that no policeman was injured in the FIR and in fact the petitioner himself had received a serious injury on his back. He was taken by his relatives to a private hospital for treatment and later police came and took him to a Government hospital and involved him in the instant case. The petitioner was medically examined after ten days and it was found by the Medical Officer that the petitioner is unable to walk. A copy of the opinion of the Medical Officer is at Annexure P-6. There is no allegation

that the petitioner had fired at the police party. The petitioner was not involved or wanted in any case under the NDPS Act including one in which Angrej Singh was an accused. He further contends that the petitioner is a member panchayat and his wife is a Sarpanch and he has been falsely implicated. He also contends that the petitioner is in custody for over two months and is not involved in any case under the NDPS Act, although he had been implicated in cases of inflicting injuries to others.

Learned State counsel upon instructions ASI Sukhdip Singh, contends that the matter is under investigation.

Heard through video-conferencing.

In view of the above especially when the petitioner had himself received a serious injury, he is in custody for about two months, the Covid-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

May 24, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No