

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 4706 of 2021
Date of Decision: 28.06.2021

Akbir Kaur

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Bhanu Partap Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondent No.2 in the context of protection of her life and liberty which according to the petitioner are at stake at the hands of respondent No.3 who is none else but husband of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with respondent No.3 on 15.04.2017. Respondent No.3 is a drug addict and he used to harass the petitioner with all possible means. A daughter named Prabhleen took birth out of the wedlock, but the conduct

of respondent No.3 did not change. Petitioner was forced to live separately.

Petitioner moved a representation to respondent No.2 on 04.03.2021. The said representation was inquired into by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Crime against Women and Children at Hoshiarpur. In the said inquiry, it has been found that the petitioner is being harassed by her husband. Petitioner was advised to seek divorce from her husband from the competent Court and also file a case under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no arrangement has been made by the police in respect of protection of the petitioner during currency of proceedings which she has already initiated by filing a divorce petition before the competent Court.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents, as no order prejudicial to the interest of any party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the threat perception of the petitioner in accordance with law and if need arises then grant adequate protection without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

June 28, 2021

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No