

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.204

CRM-M No.19699 of 2021

Date of Decision: 14.10.2021

Raj Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Abhishek Lubana, Advocate for
Mr. Rohit Lubana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Addl. P.P.,
for respondent No.1-UT Chandigarh.

None for respondent No.2.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Both the petitioners named Raj Kumar and Pawan Kumar have sought the relief of anticipatory bail in the criminal case arising out of the FIR bearing No.23 dated 26.02.2019 registered at Police Station Sector-19, Chandigarh, under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506 read with Section 34 IPC wherein the offences under Sections 325 and 326 IPC are stated to have been added later-on.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent No.1-U.T, on the instructions from ASI Rambir of Police Station Sector-19, Chandigarh, apprises the Court that in compliance of the order dated 18.05.2021 as passed by the Co-ordinate Bench, both the petitioners have joined in the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not

.....

required and they are also not involved in any other criminal case of the similar nature, and the Challan has already been presented in the Court.

Keeping in view the above-said submission as made by learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the U.T, the instant petition is allowed and the interim relief, as extended to the petitioners by the Co-ordinate Bench vide the said order dated 18.05.2021, is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall continue to strictly abide by all the conditions as laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

14.10.2021	(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
neetu	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No