

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

218

CRM-M-19687-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.11.2021

Simrandeep @ Raja

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL , J. (ORAL)

CRM-32554- 2021

Prayer in the application is for placing on record Annexures P-5 to P-9.

Notice in the application.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that he has no objection, if the present application is allowed.

Annexures P-5 to P-9 are taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

Main Case

Through this petition, the petitioner seek regular bail in case bearing FIR No.351 dated 07.12.2020 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 307, 379B, 341 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Ram Nagar, Karnal, District Karnal.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that no specific injuries have been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner has been falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner has been in custody

since 08.12.2020. He further states that co-accused Surjeet Singh, Sanjay Malik and Gurmeet @ Ghelu has already been granted regular bail.

Per contra, while opposing the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner alongwith co-accused had inflicted injuries on the person of Akarshan Uppal and also tried to throw him in the canal. However, he does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner. It is also stated that challan has been presented, but no witness has been examined so far.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Although challan stands presented, yet no prosecution witness has been examined so far. The petitioner has been in custody since 08.12.2020. Co-accused Surjeet Singh, Sanjay Malik and Gurmeet @ Ghelu has already been granted regular bail. The trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon as no prosecution witnesses are examined till date. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

02.11.2021

Riya

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No