

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.4589 of 2021

Date of Decision:-28th June, 2021.

Sukhdev Kaur

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner herein seeks the indulgence of this Court for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to protect her as well as her family members' lives and liberty as she apprehends threat to the same at the hands of the private respondents and she has also prayed for directing the official respondents to conduct further investigation in the case pertaining to FIR No.47 dated 05.04.2021 as registered at Police Station Kotbhai, District Sri Muktsar Sahib under Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC and to cancel the same and to add the offence under Section 307 IPC in DDR bearing No.41 dated 05.04.2021 as

entered at her instance and she has averred that her brother-in-law named Namdev and the private respondents are having a dispute over a piece of land situated within the Lal Lakir (Abadi) area of village Sukhna Ablu and a fight took place between her and the private respondents on this score. It has also been mentioned in this petition that the petitioner has already moved a representation (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2 in this regard.

Ms. Samina Dhir, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and take appropriate action on the said representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-3) so far as it pertains to the relief of protecting her life and liberty and he has specifically submitted that he does not press for the grant of the above-mentioned remaining relief as claimed by the petitioner in the present petition.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the afore-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter in hand, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, is hereby directed to look into the said representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-3) only to the extent

so far as it relates to her prayer qua the protection of her life and liberty and if it is found that the petitioner genuinely deserves any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

June 28, 2021
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>