

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CWP-9885-2021

Date of Decision : June 29, 2021

Amit Batra

.. Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and another .. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vineet Kumar Jakhar, Advocate and
Ms. Anikta Malhotra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the filing of the present writ petition, the respondents have already withdrawn the impugned order with liberty to pass a fresh order after giving due opportunity to the petitioner to represent in respect of the action being proposed to be taken by the respondents and therefore, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State does not dispute the abovesaid statement.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn as the same has been rendered infructuous.

June 29, 2021

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No