

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

236

**CRM-M-22479-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.08.2021**

JAMIL

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Pardeep Chhoker, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.134 dated 26.08.2020, registered under Sections 406, 420 IPC, at Police Station Pratap Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially the petitioner was not named in the FIR; that the petitioner was indicted on the basis of the disclosure statement of co-accused, namely, Furkan, who has already been granted the concession of bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar, vide order dated 10.09.2020 and that the petitioner has been in custody since 17.04.2021. He further submits that it is a case of money dispute between the parties, which stands amicably settled with the intervention of the

respectables and a compromise dated 27.07.2021 has also been executed qua the same.

On the other hand, learned State counsel on the instructions from SI Laja Ram, assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant, does not dispute the factum of the compromise. He however, submits that the compromise has been effected post presentation of the challan.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 17.04.2021. A compromise has already been effected between the parties. Further, keeping in view the prevailing situation of Covid-19 pandemic, the trial of the case is unlikely to conclude any time soon. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars. Moreover, co-accused of the petitioner has already been granted bail.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

20.08.2021

Aman Jain

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

: *Yes/No*

: *Yes/No*