

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-19953-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 26.05.2021

AJAY @ SHINTU

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.0367 dated 28.09.2020, under Sections 307, 506 & 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station I.M.T. Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of no injury and it is alleged that gun shots were fired but they did not hit anyone. The petitioner is 25 year of age and he is in custody for over 7 months and 20 days.

Learned State counsel contends that challan has been filed but no prosecution witness has been examined. He also contends that the petitioner is also involved in other cases.

Heard.

In view of the above, especially when it is case of no injury, the petitioner is 25 year of age, he is in custody for over 7 months, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petitioner shall appear before Police Station I.M.T. Rohtak every alternate Monday. He shall furnish his mobile number and keep his mobile location on till the conclusion of the trial.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

26.05.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No