

232

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**\*\*\*\***

**CRM-M-19896-2021  
Date of Decision: 21.09.2021**

**\*\*\*\***

Manpreet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab,  
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2/Complainant.

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)**

In this petition, the petitioner, who is the accused in F.I.R No.42, dated 23.03.2020, under Sections 468, 469 & 500 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 66-E & 67 of the Information & Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by her. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya, vide report dated

12.08.2021, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No.2 is represented by her Counsel through Video Conferencing, who does not dispute the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **"Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.42, dated 23.03.2020, under Sections 468, 469 & 500 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 66-E & 67 of the Information & Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioner.

**21.09.2021**

*Bhumika*

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No