

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4444-2021

Date of Decision:13.05.2021

Vaishalli and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that in the memo of parties, wrong address of the petitioners has been mentioned as H.No.1048, Shanti Colony, Chhitha Mandir Road, Jagadhri, Yamunanagar, Tehsil and District Yamunanagar instead of H.No.7411 Sector 18, Housing Board, HUDA, Hundewala, Yamunanagar, Haryana.

Accordingly, Registry is directed to make the necessary corrections in the memo of parties at an appropriate place.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents

No.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5. The certificate evidencing their marriage as well as photographs are on record as Annexure P-3 and P-4, respectively. The petitioner No.1 has submitted a representation dated 07.05.2021 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 07.05.2021 (Annexure P-5) moved by petitioner No.1, and grant protection to them, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

13.05.2021
ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No