

HARSHA RANI
2021.05.24 17:26

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(207)

CRM-M-19645-2021

Date of Decision : May 24, 2021

Satpal Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though in the present petition, the petitioner is seeking the grant of regular bail but as the charges are yet to be framed and the material witnesses are yet to be examined, the petitioner restricts his prayer for the issuance of appropriate direction to the trial Court to consider and pass appropriate orders on framing of the charges on the next date of hearing i.e. 02.06.2021, fixed before the trial Court.

Learned State counsel raises no objection with regard to the prayer of the petitioner for passing appropriate orders on framing the charges on the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, the trial Court is directed that appropriate order in respect of framing of the charges be passed after due consideration on the next date of hearing. In case, for any unforeseen reasons, the case is not taken up for

consideration on the next date fixed before the trial Court with regard to the framing of charges, then same be done by 31.07.2021 positively.

Feeling satisfied, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the petition be disposed of as not pressed.

Ordered accordingly.

May 24, 2021
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No