

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203/2)	Date of Decision: 28.06.2021 CRM-M-15799 of 2020	
Tarsem	Versus	...Petitioner
State of U.T., Chandigarh		...Respondent
2)	CRM-M-18984 of 2021 (O&M)	
Sommy	Versus	...Petitioner
State of U.T., Chandigarh		...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Siddharth Pandit, Advocate,
for the petitioner in sr. no.1.
Ms. Seema Pasricha, Advocate,
for the petitioner in Sr. no.2.
Mr. A.M. Punchhi, Public Prosecutor and
Mr. Rajiv Anand, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Case heard by way of video conferencing.

By these two petitions, the petitioners seek the concession of 'anticipatory bail' under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C., upon FIR No.94, dated 31.03.2018, having been registered at Police Station Sector-11, Chandigarh, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 307, 302 and 34 of the IPC.

Vide two separate orders passed in these petitions on April 19,

2021 and May 13, 2021, both the petitioners had been admitted to interim bail for the reasons detailed in the said orders, with a condition imposed that both the petitioners would report to the nearest police station to the place where each of them is now residing (on bail) once a week, with them not to live in the same locality as the mother of the deceased.

Upon query to the learned Public Prosecutor, U.T., Chandigarh, he very fairly submits that as per his instructions the petitioners have not misused the concession of bail and have been reporting to the police station concerned regularly.

Upon further query, he submits that the trial is likely to conclude within the next 2/3 months, with only 5 prosecution witnesses remaining to be examined.

That being so, with obviously liberty available to the respondent State to file an appropriate application in case of any misuse of the concession of bail in the future also, these petitions are disposed of, with the order passed on 19.04.2021 (in CRM-M-15799 of 2020) and the order passed on 13.05.2021 (in CRM-M-18984 of 2021), made absolute on the same terms and conditions; i.e. the petitioners would continue to report every week to the nearest police station to the place where they are residing and would not reside in the locality where the mother of the deceased resides.

A copy of this order be placed on the file of the other connected matter too.

28.06.2021
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No