

Juber Khan and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition the petitioners seeks protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no. 4 to 7, with the petitioners stated to be in a live-in relationship with each other, though petitioner no. 2 is stated to be married to respondent no. 4.

Both the petitioners are shown to be adults aged 22 years though with no firm proof of age annexed with the petition, only copies of Aadhar cards having been annexed therewith, that not being firm proof of age in any case, as no such proof is asked for at the time of applying for or issuing Aadhar cards.

Be that as it may, since protection of life is a basic fundamental right enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no. 2 and 3, i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Nuh and the SHO Police Station Tauru, District Nuh, to ensure that the life of the petitioners is duly protected.

As regards liberty, if they are proved to be adults, as per documentary record, naturally no law can prohibit a live-in relationship with each other, after the judgment of the Supreme Court on the issue.

Consequently, their liberty shall also be protected as per law, if none of them is a minor.

If petitioner no. 2 specifically is found to be below 18 years of age, naturally this order will not protect petitioner no. 1, but with it again made clear that documented proof of her being above or below 18 years of age, shall be obtained by respondents no. 2 and 3.

May 13, 2021	(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
nitin	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.