

CRWP No. 4452-2021

Date of Decision: 07.07.2021

Sukhjinder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, A.A.G, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

Notice of motion having been issued on 17.05.2021, though learned State counsel submits that he has received a reply on behalf of the respondents by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division, Attari, Police District Amritsar (Rural), neither any such reply is on record nor has counsel for the petitioners received it.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that even now respondent no. 3 is continuing to harass the petitioners because of the involvement of their son in two or three criminal cases.

Learned counsel for the State however submits that as per the reply the petitioners are not being harassed.

Since the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, has been obviously erroneously impleaded as respondent no. 2 in the petition whereas actually it should be the Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Amritsar (Rural), the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, is ordered to be deleted from

the array of parties and instead the Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Amritsar (Rural) is ordered to be impleaded as respondent no. 2, with the Registry directed to carry out the necessary corrections in the memo of parties.

The Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) is directed to ensure that the petitioners, who are shown to be aged 50 and 55 years old, are not harassed on account of any cases registered against their son and if the petitioners are themselves to be questioned in any manner, it shall only be by following by due procedure as prescribed in the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, failing which the SSP shall be liable to be held to be in contempt of the orders of this court.

With the aforesaid directions, this petition is disposed of.

July 07, 2021

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No.