

In virtual Court

CRM-M-19183-2021

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19183-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.09.2021

Harmanpreet Singh @ Mannu

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.76 dated 08.12.2020 under Sections 323, 326, 341, 34 IPC and Section 307 IPC (added later on), registered at Police Station Pojewal, District SBS Nagar.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 17.05.2021: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia relies on the grant of ad interim anticipatory bail to the identically placed co-accused

Gurbaksh Singh and Ravi Kumar, who had earlier approached this Court through CRM-M No.7383 of 2021 – Gurbaksh Singh Vs. State of Punjab and CRMM-No.7453 of 2021 – Ravi Kumar Vs. State of Punjab...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has volunteered to deposit a sum of Rs.35,000/- with the trial Court to be paid to victim Jasvir Singh towards medical expenses within two months from today.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is not required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 17.05.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

It will be open for victim Jasvir Singh to accept the amount, otherwise the same will remain deposited with the trial Court. However, this will be without prejudice to right of defence of the petitioner as well as the victim, as the amount is only towards the medical expenses.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

24.09.2021
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No