

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.4368 of 2021

Date of decision : May 13, 2021

Harsharan Kaur and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERNECE)

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present:- Ms. Simmi Tiwana, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 -Harsharan Kaur aged about 26 years and petitioner No.2-Bhagwant Singh aged about 27 years have got married on 26.04.2021, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondent Nos.4 to 6. He submits that it is the first marriage of both the petitioners. It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they have sent a representation dated 04.05.2021 (Annexure P-4) in this regard to

respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib. In support of his submissions he has annexed the receipt of the courier service through which the representation was made to the Senior Superintendent of Police Fatehgarh Sahib.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, who is appearing through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2– Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib, to look into the representation dated 04.05.2021 (Annexure P-4) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 13, 2021

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No