

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.19664 of 2021
Date of Decision:- 28th May, 2021.**

Sachin alias Sagar

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents.

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Devinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner herein seeks the relief of regular bail in the criminal case as registered at Police Station IMT Rohtak vide FIR No.0303 dated 17.08.2020 under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC wherein the offences under Sections 307 and 201 IPC are stated to have been added subsequently.

Bereft of unnecessary details, the allegations, as levelled by respondent No.2-complainant Neeraj in this case, are that the petitioner, along with his co-accused Sunny and Rohit, caused injuries to him with ice-piercer (*sua*).

Today, Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate has joined the proceedings in this case on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant and has also sent his power of attorney through *e-mail* which has been taken on the record.

I have re-heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have heard learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant in the present petition and have also perused the file thoroughly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had not caused any injury to the complainant-injured and rather, he had allegedly caught hold of him and it is the co-accused of the petitioner named Sunny who is alleged to have caused the injuries to the complainant, out of which, some injuries have been opined to be dangerous to life and the Challan has already been presented and even charges have also been framed against the petitioner and his co-accused in this case and therefore, he deserves the concession of being released on regular bail.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the above-referred factual position but he as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant argue that keeping in view the gravity of the offence as committed by the petitioner as well as his co-accused, this petition be dismissed.

Keeping in view the facts that the only role attributed to the petitioner in the alleged commission of the offence in the instant case is that he had caught hold of the complainant-injured and that the injuries, which were later-on declared to be dangerous to life, have, rather, been attributed to his co-accused Sunny and that the Challan has already been presented and

even charges have also been framed against the petitioner and his co-accused in this case and the trial of the case is likely to take quite some time to conclude, especially in the circumstances when the Courts are functioning in a restrictive manner in the wake of the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19 and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner (*Sachin @ Sagar*) is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing the requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The instant petition stands allowed accordingly.

May 28, 2021
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Note:	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
	<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>