

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision : 30.11.2021

1.

CRR-822-2019 (O&M)

Satya Narayan Yadav

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

2.

CRR-824-2019 (O&M)

Satya Narayan Yadav

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

3.

CRR-825-2019 (O&M)

Satya Narayan Yadav

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

4.

CRR-827-2019 (O&M)

Satya Narayan Yadav

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Sahil Choudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This order will dispose of the aforesaid four criminal revision petitions. All the said criminal revision petitions are arising out of the proceedings under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (in short “N.I.Act”), owing to four different complaints filed under Section 138 of N.I.Act. The petitioner had been convicted and sentenced by both the courts below, in all the four cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since, all four petitions have become infructuous, inasmuch as the petitioner has completed the sentence awarded to him, he thus seeks to withdraw the present petitions.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, all the four criminal revision petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.

Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, is rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

November 30, 2021

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No