

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-18845 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: June 28, 2021

Satinderjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is second petition that has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.190 dated 29.09.2019, under Sections 324, 34 of Indian Penal Code (Sections 307 and 326 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana Rural. Earlier bail application bearing CRM-M-19241-2020 had been dismissed as withdrawn on 15.12.2020.

Counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is argued that earlier as per the record of DMC, Hospital, Ludhiana, no gross fracture was seen in the medical report of the injured, but later on, he managed to obtain the medical reports in his favour. It is also contended that weapon recovered from the present petitioner did not contain any blood, therefore, there is no evidence to connect the alleged weapon with the present case. It is also

submitted that the petitioner herein is in custody since 24.12.2019 and it will take sufficient time to conclude the trial, as such, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while contending that there are serious allegations that have been levelled against the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the pleadings of the case.

As per the allegations, the petitioner herein has been specifically named in the FIR. It is the petitioner, who called the complainant at the place of occurrence and gave three blows of *dah* on the head of the complainant, due to which he fell down. The present petitioner along with co-accused fled away from the spot, believing the complainant to be dead. Bone of head of the complainant got fractured in this incident. Keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations levelled against the petitioner herein, this court is not inclined to allow regular bail to the petitioner. I do not find any material change of circumstances after dismissal of earlier application for bail. As such, the petition in hand is hereby dismissed.

Anything observed by this court is only for the purpose deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall not be construed as an opinion on the merits of the case.

June 28, 2021
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No