

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18672 of 2021(O&M)
Date of Decision: June 11, 2021**

Gurpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Ms. Manjot Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, AAG, Punjab.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.5 dated 18.01.2021 under Sections 22, 25 and 29 of the NDPS Act, Police Station Dhanaula, District Barnala.

As per allegations in the FIR, secret information was received that Shishpal Singh @ Sukhi, Paramjit Singh @ Pammi, Gurpreet Singh @ Guri (petitioner), Pali Singh, Mani Singh and Binder Singh had collectively constituted a group for selling intoxicant tablets. This group brings intoxicant tablets from other States and supply the same in Districts Patiala, Sangrur and Barnala. They possess a Santro car. The secret information was that they would bring a huge quantity of tablets in their Santro car bearing Reg.no.HR-03F-9771 and supply the same to Mani Singh and Binder Singh. If they are searched on the Barnala main road in Sangrur-Dhanauli area, then they could be apprehended along with the intoxicants. Based on the secret

information a *naka* was laid. The Santro car bearing Reg.No.HR-03F-9771 was intercepted and 1,25,000 intoxicant tablets make Clovidol-100 SR were recovered. The occupants of the car Shishpal Singh @ Sukhi and Paramjit Singh @ Pammi were apprehended. It is stated that Paramjit Singh @ Pammi in his disclosure statement revealed that Gurpreet Singh (petitioner) had got into bad company. He used to bring intoxicant tablets and sell the same. Paramjit Singh is also alleged to have disclosed that the Santro car is owned by the petitioner and he used it to sell the intoxicants tablets in connivance with Pali Singh and Shishpal Singh.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated merely on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. He has no connection with the sale of intoxicant tablets.

Ld. Counsel for the State on the other hand has argued that the Santro Car, from which the contraband was recovered, is registered in the name of the petitioner and he has been named by the accused arrested on the spot.

Considering the nature of the allegations and the extent of recovery, no ground is made out to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition dismissed.

June 11, 2021
rashmi

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking / Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes / No