

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

114 - CRWP-4292-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.05.2021

Rekha & Anr.

... Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. SS Kainth, Advocate for the petitioners
Ms. Palika Monga, DAG Haryana

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

Through V.C.

The petitioners, namely, Rekha (25 years) and Ashish Kumar (23 years), who claim themselves to be major have filed the present petition *inter alia* praying for protection of their life and liberty since they apprehend threat to the same having married against the wishes of their parents and relatives.

Learned counsel based upon the pleadings in the petition, *inter alia*, submits that in spite the fact that petitioner No.1 had clearly brought to the notice of her parents that she is in relationship with petitioner No.2 and wants to marry and live with him. Her parents i.e. the private respondents forcibly performed marriage of petitioner No.1 on 15.03.2021 with respondent No.7 against her consent. It is submitted that soon thereafter, petitioner No.1 voluntarily left the house of respondent No.7 and since then petitioners are living together.

Counsel further submits that a representation has been submitted by the petitioners to the Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri (P3).

While this Court refrains from making any expression as regard to the veracity of the averments having been made, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the present petition with a direction that in case the

present petitioners approach the police authorities concerned by way of filing a representation, if already not filed, with regard to their alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined expeditiously by the Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri (respondent No.2), in accordance with law and thereafter let necessary steps, as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the cases, be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent to respondent No.2 by the Registry through e-mail or any other mode so as to enable the said authority to do the needful expeditiously.

Needless to say that the aforesaid order shall not be construed to be an expression as regards the validity of the alleged marriage of the parties.

So ordered.

12.05.2021

vishal shonkar

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No