

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29506-2018
Date of Decision: 22.01.2021**

Manpreet Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate,
for petitioners No.1 and 2.

Mr. P.S. Gulani, Advocate,
for petitioners No.3 and 4.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. I.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.50, dated 25.02.2018, under Section 365 of the Indian Penal Code (Sections 379-B, 367, 368, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, added later on), registered at Police Station City South, District Moga

(Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now, complainant/respondent No.2 as well as victim/respondent No.3 – Jagroop Singh, who happens to be the husband of complainant/respondent No.2, have arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Panchayati Compromise dated 08.05.2018 and 25.05.2018 [Annexure P-2 (Colly.)], which are duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Additional District & Sessions Judge, Moga, vide report dated 29.09.2018, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by their Counsel who does not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Additional District & Sessions Judge, Moga, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondents No.2 and 3 have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.50, dated 25.02.2018, under Section 365 of the Indian Penal Code (Sections 379-B, 367, 368, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code,

added later on), registered at Police Station City South, District Moga (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

22.01.2021

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No