

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-33252-2019 and  
CRM-33254-2019 in/and  
CRM-M-29412-2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 13.09.2021  
(Heard through VC)**

**Raminder Saini**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and Others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashdeep Singh, Advocate  
for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

**CRM-33252-2019**

The application is allowed.

Copy of order dated 25.03.2019 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (Crl.) No. 1626 of 2019, Annexure P-12 is taken on record.

**CRM-33254-2019**

This is an application for recalling order dated 15.11.2018 passed in CRM-M-29412-2016 and restoring the matter for re-hearing.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the supported by the order dated 25.03.2019 of the Supreme Court, annexed therewith, the same is allowed. The final order dated 15.11.2018 passed in CRM-M-29412-2016 is re-called and the petition is restored for re-hearing.

**CRM-M-29412-2016 (O&M)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C., for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 to 4 vide orders dated 24.05.2016 and 04.06.2016 by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur in case FIR No.69 dated 05.05.2016 under Sections 498A, 406, 307, 323, 324 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur.

In brief, the facts are that an FIR came to be registered by the petitioner Raminder Saini against her father-in-law, mother-in-law, sister-in-law and uncle-father-in-law. By orders dated 24.05.2016 and 04.06.2016, the private respondents herein, after having joined investigation, were allowed anticipatory bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur. Subsequent to their being allowed anticipatory bail, the instant petition has been filed for cancellation of the bail on the ground that private respondents herein are threatening the witnesses which would not be conducive to a fair trial.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh, would submit that the trial is at fag end and it is submitted that out of 21 witnesses cited, 20 witnesses stands examined and it is only one formal witness i.e., an Assistant Sub Inspector whose statement has to be recorded and now he is summoned for 22.09.2021.

I have heard counsel for the parties and have perused the case file.

Keeping in view of the fact that out of total 21 witnesses, the statements of material witnesses have already been recorded, and this

court finds that there is no ground for cancellation of bail that has been allowed to the respondents No. 2 to 4 at this stage. Consequently, the instant petition is dismissed.

13.09.2021

*Riya*

(JAISHREE THAKUR)  
JUDGE

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |