

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

**IOIN-CRM-M-18463-2021 in/and
CRM-M-18463-2021**

Date of Decision : 08.09.2021

Amrinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present : Mrs. Kiran Bala Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

IOIN-CRM-M-18463-2021

Inadvertently, the next date of hearing in the order dated 13.05.2021 was mentioned as 08.09.2020 instead of 08.09.2021. The inadvertent mistake is clarified as the petition is listed today i.e. 08.09.2021.

No further action is required to be taken in the present IOIN-CRM-M-18463-2021 and the same is disposed of accordingly.

CRM-M-18463-2021 (O&M)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.698 dated 19.08.2020 registered under Sections 406, 420 and 370 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 24 of the Immigration Act at Police Station Ambala City.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of order passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court dated 13.05.2021. Order dated 13.05.2021 is as under:-

“Instant petition has been filed under Section 438

Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.698 dated 19.08.2020 for the offences under Sections 406, 420, 370 IPC and 24 of Immigration Act registered at Police Station Ambala City.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case in hand, which is evident from the fact that no specific allegation has been levelled against him in the FIR in question. She further contends that a perusal of the FIR reveals that Rs.22 lakhs was alleged to have been given to co-accused Kaptan Singh. It has been further contended that it was much later that the complainant moved an application bearing CRM No.28599 of 2020 before this Court levelling allegations that out of Rs.22 lakhs allegedly given to the co-accused Kaptan Singh, Rs.2 lakhs had been deposited in the account of the petitioner, during the pendency of petition filed by the co-accused Kaptan Singh. Learned counsel contends that similarly situated co-accused has been extended the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 05.04.2021.

Notice of motion for 08.09.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.PC.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raghubir Singh, states that in terms of the order of this Court reproduced before, the petitioner has joined the investigation and no further interrogation is required at this stage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that petitioner will join investigation and also cooperate with the investigating agency in case he is required for the same in future as well.

In view of the above, the order dated 13.05.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

In case at any given point of time hereinafter, it is felt by the investigating agency that petitioner is required for the investigation but are not cooperating, they will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

The petition stands disposed of.

September 08, 2021
Kothiwal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>