

**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18882-2021

Date of Decision: 10.09.2021

Amandeep

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. D.N. Ganeriwala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(Oral)

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.
2. This petition under Section 439 read with 482 of Cr.P.C. is filed seeking interim bail in FIR No. 22 dated 27.02.2019 under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Ding, District Sirsa.
3. Seventy Thousand Two Hundred Tramadol tablets were recovered from the conscious position of the petitioner. Thrice the petitioner has failed to get regular bail. The present petition is filed seeking an interim bail on the ground that there is no headway in the trial.
4. After arguing for the some time, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts the prayer that State be directed to conclude the prosecution evidence at the earliest.

5. Learned State counsel appearing on advance notice, on instructions submits that there are total fifteen prosecution witnesses, most of them are official and three have been examined. The matter is now fixed for 28.09.2021 and an earnest effort would be made to examine all the prosecution witnesses by 15.12.2021.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner will co-operate to ensure that prosecution evidence is concluded in time.

7. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of.

8. There is no doubt that in case a prayer is made before the trial Court for granting date in a manner that the prosecution evidence is concluded by December 2021, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

10.09.2021
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No