

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 9244 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION :- May 03, 2021

Barnek Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Harinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners had served a legal notice upon the respondents before filing the writ petition, copy of the same being Annexure P-12, however, no response thereto has been received till date.

Under the circumstances, the petition is disposed of directing the official respondents to look into the grievances of the petitioners contained in notice (Annexure P-12), in accordance with law within three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this this order, by passing a speaking order. It is observed that in case the petitioners still feel aggrieved after passing of order by the official respondents, then they may take recourse to the legal remedy available to them under the law, if so advised.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

May 03, 2021

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No