

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M- 18553-2021 (O&M)**

Date of Decision: May 24, 2021

Akil

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Kashyap, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

This is a petition that has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.348 dated 28.09.2019 under Sections 392, 397, 341 IPC ( Section 379-B IPC deleted) and Section 25, 27 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Sector 56, Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the said matter. It is argued that the petitioner was nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement made by Nahid and Parvez and in fact the said Nahid has already been allowed regular bail by this Court in CRM-M-20024-2020 vide order dated 10.08.2020. It is further contended that the investigation has been

completed and the challan stands presented. The trial is likely to take some time to conclude, therefore, prayer is made for concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and a country-made pistol has been recovered from him, however, he does not dispute the fact that the matter has been investigated and the challan stands presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of a co-accused who has already been granted bail and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, as owing to COVID-19 pandemic, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal/ surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

24 May, 2021

*seema*

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No