

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 216)

CRM-M No. 14742 of 2020

Date of decision : 01.09.2021

Nishan Singh @ Shana

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.147 dated 05.10.2014 registered under Section 22 of the NDPS, 1985 Act at Police Station Sarhali, district Tarn Taran.

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that only one prosecution witness remains to be examined in the petitioner's trial and therefore, at the fag end of his trial, the petitioner be not enlarged on bail, especially when he has earlier been declared a proclaimed offender.

In the light of the above statement, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he would be satisfied if the present petition is disposed of with issuance of directions to the Trial Court to dispose of the petitioner's trial in a time bound manner.

After considering the totality of the above submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to dispose of the petitioner's trial expeditiously but not later than three months from the next date fixed before it in accordance with law.

In case the petitioner's trial is not disposed of in the afore period and the delay in its disposal is not attributable to the petitioner, he would be at liberty to revive his prayer for grant of regular bail.

01.09.2021

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No