

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 999 of 2021

Date of Decision: April 27, 2021

Sunil Pratap Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

Sudha Solanki and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Petitioners in person with Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate,
Mr. Siddharth Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Arjun Singh, Advocate

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed challenging order dated 09.04.2021 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Family Court, Gurugram, whereby, by virtue of an interim order, the petitioners have been restrained from taking custody of their daughter.

A perusal of the record shows that the petitioners have still not been put in appearance before the Family Court, Gurugram. Thus, it would be more appropriate at this stage, if they appear before the Family Court, Gurugram and file an application for vacation of the ex-parte interim order dated 09.04.2021 as evidence is required to be led. Whether the daughter is being confined by the grandparents against her wishes is a matter of evidence and could be more suitably handled by the Family Court, Gurugram.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw this petition with liberty to appear before the Family Court, Gurugram and take all pleas.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

In case an application for vacation of the order dated 09.04.2021 is filed, the same shall be decided within four weeks of the filing thereof.

April 27, 2021

Poonam Negi

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No