

206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.17810 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 07.07.2021

Himanshu Bedi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.79 dated 26.02.2019, registered under Sections 323, 325, 341, 342, 365, 379-B, 34 IPC and 3 of the SC&ST Act, at Police Station Indri, District Karnal.

The operative part of the order dated 28.04.2021, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner had called complainant Vivek and when he along with Malkait went to meet the petitioner and were talking, four other accused persons arrived there and they took the complainant in their car and, therefore, caused injuries as stated in the present FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has no connection with the aforesaid

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

four other accused persons against whom there are allegations that they have caused injuries as the only role of the petitioner is that he had given a phone call to the complainant and had gone to meet him and in the subsequent incidence of causing injuries, the petitioner has no role.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that even there are no allegations against the petitioner under the SC/ST Act as the same are against the other accused persons.

Notice of motion for 07.07.2021....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 28.04.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Shamsher Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 28.04.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

07.07.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No