

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19039-2021
Date of decision:-12.7.2021**

Tarsem Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : Mr.A.S. Kler, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J.(ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

This second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for pre-arrest bail has been filed by the petitioner Tarsem Singh, aged about 23 years, resident of village Wankaron, Tehsil and District Tarn Taran, an accused in FIR No.24 dated 5.3.2019, under Sections 307, 452, 506, 323, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered with P.S. Jhabal, District Tarn Taran. The first petition bearing CRM-M-1167-2020 filed by petitioner had been dismissed vide detailed order dated 6.3.2020 passed by this Court.

Hon'ble Apex Court in a recent judgment **G.R. Ananda**

Babu Versus The State of Tamil Nadu & Anr. arising out of SLP(Crl.)

No.213 of 2021 has observed as under:

“As a matter of fact, successive anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained and more so, when the case diary and the status report, clearly indicated that the accused (respondent No.2) is absconding and not cooperating with the investigation. The specious reason of change in circumstances cannot be invoked for successive anticipatory bail applications, once it is rejected by a speaking order and that too by the same Judge.”

Therefore, the present petition is not maintainable and stands dismissed accordingly.

12.7.2021
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No