

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8992-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.07.2021

Madhav Mittal

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Panjab University and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Parampreet Singh Bajwa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar Goel, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.4.

Mr. R.K. Hooda, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondent Nos.1 to 3 to issue provisional degree certificate of Bachelor of Arts (Laws) to the petitioner so that he may fill the application form of Punjab State Civil Services Combined Competitive Examination 2020; for directing respondent No.4 to accept the form of the petitioner without Degree of Bachelor of Arts (Laws) and allow him to sit in interview in case he clear the mains examination of Punjab Civil Services Examination 2020; and for directing respondent No.5 to relax

the Rules of Legal Education, 2008, in case of such competitive examination to secure the future of the petitioner; and for staying the operation of impugned Public Notice dated 12.04.2021 (P-9), during the pendency of the writ petition.

In pursuance of order dated 12.07.2021, Mr. Kulwinder Singh Mavi, Senior Assistant, PPSC, Patiala, is present in person. The result produced by him in sealed cover opened and perused.

Concededly, petitioner is claiming himself to be a candidate from Economically Weaker Section (EWS) category No.92. Undisputedly, there is only one post reserved for EWS category i.e. PCS Executive. As per combined merit list, there are total 17 (seventeen) candidates who have qualified from EWS category for the above single post, but out of them, more than 10 (ten) candidates have been shown above the petitioner.

In view of the above factual position, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the petition on merits, rather wants to withdraw the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

The result be re-sealed and returned back to the official against proper receipt.

16.07.2021*atulsethi***(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No