

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****(I) CRM-M-18364-2021 (O&M)**

Robin ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

(II) CRM-M-18410-2021

Sunil ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

Date of Decision: 24.05.2021**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**Present: Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner/s.Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by Dilbag Singh, CIA Staff.Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate,
for the complainant.**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)****CRM-14767-2021**

This is an application seeking amendment in the head note and prayer clause of the petition for grant of regular bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner, the application is allowed and the necessary amendment i.e. addition of Section 28 of the Arms Act, is permitted to be made in the head

note and prayer clause of the instant petition in red ink under the signatures of the Reader attached to this Court.

CRM-M-18364-2021 and
CRM-M-18410-2021

1. This order shall dispose off the aforesaid two petitions i.e. CRM-M-18364-2021 filed on behalf of Robin and CRM-M-18410-2021 filed on behalf of Sunil seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered against them vide FIR No.107 dated 27.03.2021 at Police Station Madlauda, District Panipat, under Sections 379-A IPC and Section 28 of the Arms Act.
2. The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of Bittu, wherein it is alleged that he is working as a Manager at Om Shiv Gorakshksh Petrol Pump, Atawala. It is alleged that on 27.03.2021, when he alongwith his salesman Sahil were present at the petrol pump, three young boys came on a motor-cycle and after getting the motor-cycle refueled, one of them presented a debit card for swiping, but the same could not be swiped and which led to an altercation and one of the boys, who was having a weapon in his hand, snatched the card from the Salesman and thereafter, they fled from the spot.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the FIR came to be lodged under some misunderstanding which has now been resolved and the matter has been amicably compromised amongst the parties.
4. Today, Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has admitted the factum of

compromise and has stated that he has no objection in case the petitioners are granted bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has however opposed the petitions and has submitted that in view of the nature of allegations, the petitioners do not deserve the concession of bail. It has, however, been informed that challan already stands presented and the petitioners have been behind bars since the last about 2 months.
5. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court.
6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case particularly bearing in mind that the matter is stated to have been amicably resolved amongst the parties and challan already stands presented, further detention of the petitioners will not serve any useful purpose as the conclusion of trial is likely to consume some time. Both the petitions, as such, are accepted and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

24.05.2021

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**