

Jitender Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGHPresent: Mr. Siddharth Pandit, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)Case heard *via* video conferencing.**CRM-6321-2021**

Pursuant to the order of this court dated 17.02.2021, this application has been filed seeking to place on record the affidavit of the petitioner, stating therein that he was earlier working as a caretaker/helper in a gymnasium, earning Rs.12,000/- per month, but due to his involvement in the case in question, as also due to the ongoing pandemic, he is unemployed.

It has been further stated that the father of the petitioner retired as a Subedar from Army and as an Inspector from BSF and is drawing a pension of about Rs.55,000/- per month and also owning some agricultural land.

Notice in the application.

Learned State counsel accepts notice at the asking of the court on behalf of respondent State.

The application is allowed subject to all just exceptions and without making any comment on the actual merits of the case and without

making any comment on the actual contents of the affidavit, the said affidavit is ordered to be taken on record as Annexure P-7 with the accompanying petition.

CRM-M-14318-2020

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail' under the provisions of Section 439 Cr.P.C., upon FIR No.280, dated 04.12.2019, having been registered at Police Station City Kharar, SAS Nagar, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 419/465/467/468/471/120-B of the IPC (with Section 12 of the Passports Act 1967, added later).

Learned State counsel today submits that though the charges have been framed the trial has still to effectively commence, with learned counsel for the petitioner however submitting that in fact even the charges have not been framed so far.

Be that as it may, the *challan* obviously having been submitted to the competent court and with the trial is still to effectively commence, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the petition is allowed, with the order dated 27.08.2021 made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

Naturally, if the complainant is aggrieved in any manner, of lack of proper investigation, he would be always at liberty to avail of his remedy as per law.

December 14, 2021

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	: YES
Whether Reportable	: NO