

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.17742 of 2021(O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.07.2021

Sandeep KumarPetitioner
Vs
State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.192 dated 09.03.2021 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC at Police Station City Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

On 28.04.2021, following order was passed by this Court:-

“The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner does not fall in any of the

categories of the General Order dated 22.02.2020 passed by Director General, Mines and Geology, Haryana, Chandigarh as showroom value of the vehicle was only Rs.19,90,000/-. As per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner has deposited an amount of Rs.2,25,000/- with deficit of Rs.2,00,000/-. The petitioner is ready to deposit the said amount in case, vehicle of the petitioner is found to be covered under the aforesaid Policy dated 22.02.2020.

Notice of motion for 14.07.2021.

The petitioner may deposit an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Narnaul within one week from today. Subject to deposit of the aforesaid amount, he shall be allowed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on interim bail, on his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Nothing expressed hereinabove shall be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case. The case shall be considered on merits on the adjourned date.

Let the learned State Counsel come forward with an explanation as to how the case of the petitioner is covered under the Policy dated 22.02.2020.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-16283 of 2021.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has complied with the aforesaid order.

This fact has been admitted by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jatinder Singh and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation in the case.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 28.04.2021 is made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

It is made clear that the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner on 28.04.2021 with regard to categorisation shall be taken up by the petitioner at the relevant stage.

Petition stands disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

14.07.2021

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No