

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CRM-M-17843-2021

Date of decision : 26.07.2021.

Vimmi Sawhney

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Udit Mendiratta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the official respondents to investigate FIR No.457 dated 12.06.2020, under Sections 406, 420, 447 IPC and Section 103 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999, registered at Police Station Baldev Nagar, without any pressure and for addition of sections of the Copyright Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR has been registered under various Sections of IPC and the Trade Marks Act but Section 63 of the Copyright Act is also attracted in the case.

Heard.

It appears that there is a civil dispute between the petitioner and the accused, The petitioner is the sister of accused-Sandeep Chopra. They were running business in the name and style of M/s Indo West Academy. There is nothing on record which would indicate that the police is not investigating the matter in a fair manner. With regard to addition of various sections under the Copyright Act, it is difficult to accept the prayer of the

petitioner at this stage while exercising jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. The police is investigating the matter and the averments in the petition appear to be unsubstantiated. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that she sent a complaint in this regard to the police.

The petitioner would be at liberty to pursue her remedy with the police and the trial Court, if necessary.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 26, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No