

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.17921 of 2021
Date of Decision: 19.05.2021

VICKYPetitioner
Vs
STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

2. CRM-M No.18641 of 2021

Gurpreet Singh @ GoriPetitioner
Vs
STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M No.17921 of 2021.

Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M No.18641 of 2021.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Both the cases have been taken up for hearing through
video conferencing.

Vide this common order, CRM-M No.17921 of 2021 and
CRM-M No.18641 of 2021 are being decided.

Petitioner(s) seeks grant of regular bail in case bearing

FIR No.0283 dated 30.10.2020 registered under Sections 399, 402, 379, 411 IPC at Police Station City Ferozepur, District Ferozepur.

Since both the petitions have arisen out of common FIR, therefore, common facts are being noticed.

The FIR was registered on the basis of secret information that Surjit Singh alias Mangat, Vicky (petitioner), Gurpreet Singh @ Gori (petitioner), Shamsher Singh alias Sherry, Pamma and Sunny were preparing to commit dacoity and were sitting in bushes near Bajaj Dairy Basti Bhatian Wali. Raid was conducted and they were apprehended. As per disclosure statement of Vicky, recoveries of stolen motorcycles of different make have been recovered which were found parked behind the rear wall of the house of Shamsher Singh alias Sherry.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contended that nothing was overheard by the Police party and the offences under Sections 399, 402 IPC are not made out. At the most as per allegations, the recovery falls under Section 411 IPC (facts denied altogether) and that too would remain debatable. Petitioner(s) is/are in custody since 30.10.2020. Challan has been presented and charges have been framed but no prosecution witness has been examined so far.

Looking to the aforesaid facts and in view of the situation arising out due to COVID-19 pandemic and without adverting to the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner(s) on regular bail.

In view of above, both petitions are allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

19.05.2021

Amandeep

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No