

Tarsem Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of '*mandamus*' directing respondent no. 2, i.e. the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), to take action on the petitioners' representation and to restrain 'his officials', including respondent no. 3, from misusing their official position and to not harass and threaten the petitioner and his family under the garb of investigation; and to also protect their life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the petitioner and his family having provided all information as was available with them qua their son, who is an accused in FIR No. 0075, dated 23.03.2021, registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar (Rural), the petitioner and his family are being time and again harassed by respondent no. 3 from the said police station/police post, with them being called to the police station on a daily basis.

He however submits that at this stage the petitioner would

be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police,

Amritsar (Rural), to go into the petitioners' grievance and to take action as per law.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with the aforesaid direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), to ensure that the petitioners' family is not unnecessarily harassed and that even if they are to be summoned to the police station, it is only by following due procedure of law, as prescribed in the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

April 29, 2021	(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
nitin	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.