

COCp-988-2021

Date of Decision : 27.09.2021

Kamla Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Raji P Shrivastava and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Nitin Mittoo, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel contends that the instant petition was filed for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 01.10.2020 in CWP No.15936 of 2020 on account of the claim made in legal notice dated 04.08.2020 not having been decided within the stipulated period of time or even thereafter.

3. Learned State Counsel on the other hand states that the respondents have passed order, Annexure R/1 dated 28.07.2021 deciding the claim made in legal notice dated 04.08.2020.

4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order, Annexure R/1 dated 28.07.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with

5. In view of the position as noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 against the respondents while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 28.07.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.
6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

27.09.2021
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No