

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CRM-M-17608-2021
Date of decision: 28.04.2021

Suraj Bhan

.....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: - Mr. H.P.S Ghuman, Advocate, for the petitioner.

.....

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks a direction to the official respondents to proceed against the accused persons, for the commission of offences under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, by deciding the representations dated 30.03.2021 (Annexure P-5) and 05.04.2021 (Annexure P-7).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh at Narnaul (respondent No.3) to take a decision in a time bound manner, on the representations made by the petitioner, the copy of the last one having been annexed as Annexure P-7 with the petition and seen to be dated 05.04.2021.

Though the said representation is seen to be addressed to the Station House Officer of Police Station Sadar, Narnaul, with copies thereof to the Director General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula, and the Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh, however, without making any comments whatsoever on the actual merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh, to go into the grievance of the petitioner after hearing him, and to take an action if any cognizable offence is made out, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

28.04.2021
preeti

whether speaking/non speaking	yes/no
<i>whether reportable/non reportable</i>	<i>yes/no</i>