

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.8867 of 2021  
Date of Decision:23.04.2021**

***(Heard through Video-Conferencing)***

**Dr. Jai Singh**

...Petitioner

Versus

**State of Haryana & ors.**

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Nitin Jain, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)**

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has approached this Court for seeking the relief of the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant him the benefit of two advance increments w.e.f. 02.03.2009 with all the consequential benefits, along with interest thereon, while averring that he had obtained the Ph.D. degree on the said date, in terms of the UGC Regulations, 1998, which have been duly adopted by the respondent-State vide the order dated 08.12.2000 (Annexure P-3) and though he has already moved the representations to the respondents for claiming the afore-said benefits but in vain.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana, has joined the proceedings on behalf of all the respondents in this case, in pursuance

of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to treat the present writ petition as the representation on his behalf and to consider and decide the same within some specific time frame.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the afore-discussed prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting upon the merits of the matter in hand, the instant writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director General, Higher Education Haryana, to treat the present writ petition as the representation on behalf of the petitioner. The petitioner shall submit the copy of this writ petition to respondent No.2 within a period of one week from today and respondent No.2 shall consider and decide the same, in accordance with law/relevant rules, by passing a speaking order thereon, within a period of two months thereafter.

**23.04.2021**  
*monika*

**( MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No