

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

112-CWP-8937-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.04.2021

Karnail Singh

... Petitioner

VS.

PSPCL

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of restrictions due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

Petitioner Karnail Singh has filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with a prayer to the respondents to release the retiral and other consequential benefits along with interest.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner being a specially-abled person was eventually granted extension of two years' service and thereafter had retired from service on 30.06.2020. It appears that on account of pending charge-sheet, the respondent has abstained from releasing pension to the extent of 30% to the petitioner.

In this regard, learned counsel submits that for the same/similar grievance, the petitioner has submitted a legal notice dated 07.04.2021 (P2).

Notice of motion.

On the asking, Ms. Anju Arora, Advocate accepts notice through video conferencing.

At this stage, learned counsel makes a request that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondent to decide the legal notice in a time bound frame.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as contained in the legal notice (P2) by passing a speaking order within 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

So ordered.

27.04.2021

vishal shonkar

- 1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
- 2. *Whether reportable?*

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No