

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-17206-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 12.08.2021

RAHUL SAHOTA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.21 dated 01.03.2021, under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 and 506 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the incident is the outcome of a sudden altercation between youngsters. He further contends that the complainant had gone to Civil Hospital and left against medical advice and after several days he went to private hospital and it is possible that the injuries could be self-suffered. The alleged injuries are on the fingers of the left hand of the complainant.

Learned State counsel contends that the petitioner is alleged to have caused serious injury with khanda on the hand of the complainant. The extension of 3rd, 4th and 5th finger was restricted. He has also filed reply in the shape of an affidavit of ACP-V, Cantonment, Jalandhar which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the complainant contends that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, the petitioner is not entitled to the grant of concession of anticipatory bail.

Heard.

The petitioner is alleged to have caused serious injury on the hand of the complainant with 'khanda'. In the MLR, the injury has been described as under:-

“An incised wound of size approximately 4.5 cm x 1 cm on Dorsal side of left hand distal half of metacarpal region. Wound extended from the 3rd metacarpal to the end of 5th metacarpal. Fresh bleeding present subcutaneous tissue and tendons exposed abnormal movement of 3rd finger. No extension of 4th and 5th finger, present. Both ends of wound are tapered. Adv xrays and ortho opinion.”

It is also stated in the affidavit that the Board was constituted and it had opined that x-ray view suggested fracture of metacarpal bones (left hand) and it was also opined that possibility of injury by friendly hand is also remote.

In view of the serious allegation of having caused serious injury on the left hand of the complainant, I am not inclined to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

12.08.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No