

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-17082-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-14.9.2021

Manjeet Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by Mahender Singh.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.286 dated 3.7.2020 at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad under Sections 307, 34 of Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of Arms Act.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 22.4.2021, the following order was passed:

“Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.286 dated 03.07.2020, under Sections 307, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the

Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is outcome of a sudden quarrel between friends wherein no one was injured. It is only alleged that a shot was fired which hit the car. He also contends that with the intervention of the respectables, the matter has been settled. He has referred to a copy of the compromise at Annexure P-2.

Issue notice to the respondent.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

List on 26.05.2021.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating/Arresting Officer and join investigation. In the event of his arrest, the Investigating/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioner on ad interim bail subject to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel, upon instructions from Investigating Officer, has informed that pursuant to interim directions the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation.
4. Having regard to the fact that nobody was injured in the occurrence in question, which is stated to be some kind of a brawl between friends and while also noticing that pursuant to interim directions, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 22.4.2021 are hereby made absolute, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and

cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

14.9.2021

pankaj

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No