

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.3783 of 2021

Date of Decision :20.04.2021

Sanju and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus for directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to provide adequate security to the petitioners, so that respondent Nos.4 to 6 and other relatives of the petitioners do not interfere in their routine life.
3. Learned counsel contends that according to Aadhar Cards (Annexures P/1 and P/2), age of petitioner No.1 is 18 years and 3 months while the age of petitioner No.2 is 20 years 7 months and due to mutual liking for each other, they are in a live-in relationship though against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 6 i.e. father, mother and family friend of father of petitioner No.1 who are threatening the petitioners with harm to their life and liberty.

4. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners submitted representation, Annexure P/3 dated 17.04.2021 to respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Jind, Haryana but no action has been taken in respect thereto till date and the petitioners would be satisfied if the instant petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P/3 dated 17.04.2021 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

5. Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

6. Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG Haryana, who is present, accepts notice and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, without commenting upon the age of the petitioners, validity of their relationship or the authenticity of the documents attached with the petition, the same is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Jind, Haryana to look into the representation, Annexure P/3 dated 17.04.2021, submitted by the petitioners as expeditiously as possible and to take such action in respect thereto in accordance with law as may be warranted keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners. However, it is made clear that this order shall not bar any civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

20.04.2021

'Amit'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No