

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP- 8809-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.04.2021**

M/S KANAHIYA PROPERTIES THROUGH ITS PARTNER

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present: Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ of Certiorari for quashing the order dated 25.03.2021 (Annexure P-10) whereby respondent No. 2 has passed the impugned order in complete contradiction to the principles of Natural Justice.

States that the reply (Annexure P-9) filed by the petitioner was not considered by respondent No. 2— District Town Planner, Yamuna Nagar as has been reflected in the order dated 25.03.2021 (Annexure P-10) that the petitioner has not filed the reply. That finding recorded by respondent No. 2 is against the record. He will be satisfied in case the reply (Annexure P-9) is

reconsidered by the competent authority.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has already been handed over to learned State counsel.

In the circumstances, the competent authority is directed to reconsider the matter in the light of reply (Annexure P-9), in accordance with law.

Disposed of accordingly.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

23.04.2021
 jyoti-II

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No