

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(102)

**CWP-8670-2021**

**Date of Decision : 22 April, 2021**

**Sunita Rani**

**.. Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Union of India and others**

**.. Respondents**

**(through video conferencing)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 4.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that vide e-mail dated 19.10.2019 (Annexure P-7), the respondents informed the petitioner that her candidature for being considered for allotment of RO dealership at village Narar, Block Kaithal, District Kaithal under SC category, which was advertised on 25.11.2018 was rejected for the reason that the caste certificate given by the petitioner was issued to her on a date later than date of application and further the jamabandi of the land which was being offered, was not submitted alongwith the application. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that both these objections were clarified by the petitioner as incorrect and a detailed reply was submitted. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that as no information was being received

from the respondents, the petitioner also issued a legal notice dated 21.12.2020 (Annexure P-12) for considering her claim for allotment of RO dealership at village Narar, Block Kaithal, District Kaithal. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the said legal notice is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2-Indian Oil Corporation for deciding the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate, who has also joined the proceedings through video conference, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 4 and raises no objection for deciding the legal notice of the petitioner in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 21.12.2020 (Annexure P-12), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

**April 22, 2021**  
*harsha*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No